



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/829,131

04/21/2004

James Chieh-Tsung Chen

MP0506

5418

26703 7590 06/18/2009  
HARNESSE, DICKEY & PIERCE P.L.C.  
5445 CORPORATE DRIVE  
SUITE 200  
TROY, MI 48098

EXAMINER

VIANA DI PRISCO, GERMAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/18/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/829,131	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GERMAN VIANA DI PRISCO. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) JUSTIN PURCELL. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shu et al. and Baumberger.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and Mr. Purcell discussed the current language of claims 1 and 4. Mr. Purcell suggested amending claim 4 to particularly point out that the processor queue is different from the virtual machine queue, and incorporating the language of claim 4 into claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Germán Viana Di Prisco/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617
---	--